

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,044	12/01/1999	YOSHIO OHASHI	7246/58595	9396
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
JAY H MAIOLI			GRAHAM, ANDREW R	
COOPER & DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10036			2644	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	4 K

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/445,044	OHASHI, YOSHIO				
, Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Andrew Graham	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language process.	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ext sentence of the specification or positional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

'Art Unit: 2644

Page 2

EX.

B.D.

1-12-04

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in telephone interviews with Pedro Fernandez on January 5 and 12, 2003.

The application has been amended as follows:

- Claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled.
- The phrase "cone paper" on page 2, line 8 has been changed to "a paper cone".
- The notation of equation 14 on page 15 has been changed to:

"
$$N^2 = R1/R2$$

"L1/L2 =
$$N^{2}$$
"

to more clearly express the involved mathematical formula.

- The notation of "N2" on page 18, line 7 has been changed to "N 2 ".
- The phrase "where R1 is the CD resistance" in Claim 1 has been changed to "where R1 is the DC resistance".
- The phrase "L1 is the DC resistance of said primary coil" has been removed from Claim 1.

' Art Unit: 2644

- The variable " K^{2} " in the version of Equation \S submitted October 22, 2003 has been changed to " k^{2} ".

- The variable "fo" in the version of Equation 12 submitted October 22, 2003 has been changed to "fo".

The following changes to the drawings have been approved by the examiner and agreed upon by applicant: The label "singnal input line 9" in Figure 6 has been changed to "signal input line 9".

In order to avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must make these above agreed upon drawing changes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The basis of this rejection is that equations listed in the most recent amendment, submitted October 22, 2003, do not match those

Art Unit: 2644

À

originally filed in the application. The current version of claim 2 contains the expression " $(N^2 \times R2 + L1 \times R1)$ " in the first set of parentheses of the first line of the claim, while the original equation contains the expression " $(N^2 \times R2 + R1)$ " listed on line 7, page 12 of the specification. The second line of the equation in the second claim also contains the expression " $(L1 \times R1 + L1 \times R1/N^2)$ " while the original formula on page 12 contains " $(L1 \times R2 + L1 \times R1/N^2)$ "

Specification

The amendment filed October 22, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The current version of Equations 4 and 7 contains the expression " $(N^2 \times R2 + L1 \times R1)$ " in the first set of parentheses of the first line, while the original equation contains the expression " $(N^2 \times R2 + R1)$ " listed on line 7, page 12 of the specification. The second line of the equations also contain the expression " $(L1 \times R1 + L1 \times R1/N^2)$ " while the original formula on page 12 contains " $(L1 \times R2 + L1 \times R1/N^2)$ ". Equation 8 also differs in that the newly submitted version includes the expression " $(R1 + k^2 \times R2 \times L1 \times L2)$ ", while the originally submitted version contains the expression " $(R1 + k^2 \times R2 \times L1 / L2)$ ".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2644

\

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 1 is allowable over the prior art of record because, while the prior art provides parallel speaker construction and operation, the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, any motivation to combine any of the said prior art that teaches an a dual coil speaker wherein the relationship of the components satisfies the formula: "N x (R1 x R2) $^{1/2}$ /{2 x pi x L1 x (1- k^2) $^{1/2}$ } \geq 20000 Hz" where R1 is the DC resistance of said primary coil, L1 is the inductance of said primary coil, N is the number of turns fo said primary coil, R2 is the DC resistance of said secondary coil, and k is the coupling coefficient of said primary coil and said secondary coil, when considered in combination of with each of the other limitations recited in Claim 1.

Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed October 16 with respect to Claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claim 1 has been withdrawn.

' Art Unit: 2644

2

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Graham whose telephone number is (703) 308-6729. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen, can be reached at (703) 305-4386. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

' Art Unit: 2644

A69

Andrew Graham Examiner A.U. 2644

ag January 12, 2003 XU MEI